

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,839	02/25/2002 Hiroharu Takahashi		03500.016228	4333	
5514	7590 04/07/2006		EXAMINER		
	CK CELLA HARPER &	TRAN, P	TRAN, PHILIP B		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2155		

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 January 2006.  2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)☑ Claim(s) 1,3-8,10-21,23-25 and 27-30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)□ All b)□ Some * ○)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	
Philip B. Tran  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  **A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  **If NO period for reply is specified above, the macroum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  **If NO period for reply is specified above, the macroum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  **If NO period for reply is specified above, the macroum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  **If NO period for reply is specified above, the macroum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even at shortly fired, may reduce any search apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even at shortly fired, may reduce any search apply fired, may reduce any search apply fired in a period of the communication.  **Status**  **IND**  **Status**  **IND**  **PINE**  **PIN	Office Action Summary		10/081,8	339	TAKAHASHI, HIROHARU	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Educations of the many be realized under the provided will apply and we replied to the provided of the provided will apply and we spire SIX (8) MONTHS from the matting date of this communication. Failur to reply within he set or centended period for reply a specified above, the maximum assistory periods will apply and we spire SIX (8) MONTHS from the matting date of this communication. Failur to reply within he set or centended period for reply a specified above, the maximum assistory period will apply and we spire SIX (8) MONTHS from the matting date of this communication. Failur to reply within the set or searched period for reply a specified above, the maximum assistory period will apply and the spiral period will apply and the spiral period will apply and the provided will apply and the soft of the communication. Failur to reply will be stated to the matter and period will apply and the provided will apply and the provided will apply and the communication. Failur to reply will be stated to the soft of the communication of the soft of the matter and the communication. Spiral period will apply and the provided will be provided will be communication. Spiral period will apply and the provided will be provided w			Examine	Examiner		Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of tense may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In or event, however, may a may be tennely filled.  Extensions of tense may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In or event, however, may a may be tennely filled.  Extensions of tense may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In or event, however, may a may be a tenny be tenny tense and accordance with the provision of the provision of the scale of the provision of the provision of the scale of the provision of the provision of the communication. Failure to reply within the set of extended period for reply will, by a status, cause the application to become ARANDOVED (53 U.S.C. § 133). Any may revealed by the Office deep tense them the maining date of this communication, even if timely filled, may return a set of the provision of the p			Philip B.	Tran	2155	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Exercises for the may be available under the provisions of 37 FR1 1-301, into event, however, may a reply be timely filled  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum detablety priends will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallur to reply which the set or extended period for reply is specified above, the maximum detablety priends will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any eventre patient time alignament. See 7 CFR 1-74(4).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 12 January 2006.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1,3-8,10-21,23-25 and 27-39 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s)			cation appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edentions of time may be available under the provised with early to the communication of 37 cFR 11/80 , in no event, however, may anephy be timely field after EX (8) MONTIS from the mailing date of this communication of the communicati		•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 January 2006.  2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.  2b)☐ This action is non-final.  3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)☒ Claim(s) 1,3-8,10-21,23-25 and 27-30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)☒ Claim(s) 1,3-8, 10-21, 23-25 and 27-30 is/are rejected.  7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)☐ The graving(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  Attachment(s)  1)☐ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)☐ Indomation Disclosuer Statements) (PTO-1449 or P	WHICHEV - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	YER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA of time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this commit I for reply is specified above, the maximum stated ply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or exten	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply.	HIS COMMUNICATIO vent, however, may a reply be ti will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-8,10-21,23-25 and 27-30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper Notice of Tratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status					<b>〈</b>
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-8,10-21,23-25 and 27-30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper Notice of Transperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1)⊠ Res	nonsive to communication(s) file	d on 12 January 20	06		
3	·					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1,3-8,10-21,23-25 and 27-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some *c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u>'—</u>		•—	•	osecution as to the	e merits is
A) Claim(s) 1.3-8.10-21.23-25 and 27-30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	• •	•	· •		' '
4) Claim(s) 1,3-8,10-21,23-25 and 27-30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is 'made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All   b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		·		<b>,</b>		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•		O intorn mandina in	ha annliastion		
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to estriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some *c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			_ , ,	•		
6) Claim(s) 1, 3-8, 10-21, 23-25 and 27-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	=		e withdrawn from C	onsideration.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.         Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).         Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of:         1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Proferences Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  9) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  9) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>		20 ialoro roinatad			,
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	·	, ,	-30 is/are rejected.			
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>	· · — ·	tion and/or election	roquiromont		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Processon's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	O) Cial	in(s) are subject to restrict	non and/or election	requirement.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application P	apers				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9) <u></u> The :	specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is 'made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10) <u></u> The ∈	drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b	) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Appl	cant may not request that any objec	tion to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Repl	acement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requ	red if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11) The	oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	e Action or form P	TO-152.
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	12)	owledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) <u> </u>	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1.□	Certified copies of the priority of	documents have be	en received.	*	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2.	Certified copies of the priority of	documents have be	en received in Applicat	ion No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3.	Copies of the certified copies of	of the priority docum	ents have been receiv	ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See th	ne attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the cer	tified copies not receive	ed.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)	
						O-152)
			. 5/55/00)			,

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20060330

## **Response to Amendment**

#### Notice to Applicant

- 1. This communication is in response to Remarks filed 12 January 2006. Claims 1, 3, 8, 15, 18, 21, 25 and 29-30 have been amended. Claims 2, 9, 22 and 26 have been previously canceled. Therefore, claims 1, 3-8, 10-21, 23-25 and 27-30 are pending for further examination.
- 2. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on 15 April 2002 has no attached PTO-1449 form in order for the examiner to considered. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20060330

3. Claim 1, 3-5, 7, 10-21, 23-25 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yanagidaira, U.S. Pat. No. 6,490,052.

Regarding claim 1, Yanagidaira teaches a network interface apparatus which is connected to an image processing apparatus and communicates with an external apparatus, comprising:

a providing unit adapted to provide display data necessary for constructing a picture plane for displaying or setting apparatus information of the image processing apparatus to the external apparatus (= main control unit 1 in connection with web server unit 11) [see Fig. 1 and Abstract];

a holding unit adapted to hold language information indicative of a selected language among a plurality of kinds of languages (= database 6) [see Fig. 1]; and

a data obtaining unit adapted to obtain the display data from the image processing apparatus if the display data necessary for constructing the picture plane depends on an apparatus type of the image processing apparatus and to obtain the display data from said network interface apparatus if the display data necessary for constructing the picture plane does not depend on the apparatus type of the image processing apparatus (= main controller 1 manages frames data for constructing images) [see Col. 6, Lines 8-52],

wherein said providing unit provides the designated display data corresponding to the language indicated by the language information held by said holding unit to the external apparatus (= sending to the web server 11 and transferring to the browser 12 for displaying) [see Fig. 1 and Col. 5, Lines 29-65].

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20060330

Yanagidaira does not explicitly teach data obtaining unit designates the display data based on the language information held by said holding unit to obtain the display data corresponding to the language indicated by the language information held by said holding means from the image processing apparatus. However, it would have been obvious to one of skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to have a selection display including a variety of language options indicated by the language information held by said holding means from the image processing apparatus for setting purposes.

Regarding claim 3, Yanagidaira further teaches an apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said providing means provides the display data necessary for constructing a picture plane for selecting the language from among the plurality of kinds of languages, and said holding unit holds the language information indicative of the language selected on the picture plane [see Col. 5, Lines 9-34].

Regarding claim 4, Yanagidaira further teaches an apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said providing unit provides the display data by using an HTTP (Hyper Text Transfer Protocol), and wherein said data obtaining unit discriminates whether the requested data is type-dependent data which depends on the apparatus type of the image processing apparatus or type-independent data on the basis of a URL (Uniform Resource Locator) of the requested data, obtains the type-dependent data from the image processing apparatus if the requested data is the type-dependent data, and

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20060330

obtains the type-independent data from said network interface apparatus if the requested data is the type-independent data [see Col. 5, Line 35 to Col. 6, Line 66].

Regarding claim 5, Yanagidaira further teaches an apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the picture plane displays information regarding a paper feed, information regarding a paper delivery, and error information [see Fig. 9].

Regarding claim 7, Yanagidaira further teaches an apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the image processing apparatus is a printer and said network interface apparatus is a network card which can be connected to a plurality of kinds of printers [see Fig. 1].

Claim 8 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1. In addition, Yanagidaira further teaches obtaining means for obtaining shipping destination information showing to which place said image processing apparatus is shipped (= web server 11 receives URL request from the browser for sending printer settings information to display) [see Col. 7, Lines 12-47].

Regarding claim 10, Yanagidaira further teaches an apparatus according to claim 8, wherein said obtaining unit requests the shipping destination information from the image processing apparatus [see Fig. 1].

Claim 11 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 4.

Claim 12 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 5.

Claim 14 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 7.

Regarding claim 15, Yanagidaira further teaches an image processing apparatus which is connected to a network interface apparatus for controlling data communication with an external apparatus, comprising:

storing unit adapted to store type-dependent data which depends on a type of said image processing apparatus in display data necessary for constructing a picture plane for displaying or setting apparatus information of said image processing apparatus (= database 6) [see Fig. 1]; and

transfer unit adapted to transfer the type-dependent data stored in said storing unit to the network interface apparatus in accordance with a request from the network interface apparatus (= main control unit 1 in connection with web server unit 11) [see Fig. 1 and Abstract],

wherein the network interface apparatus provides the designated type-dependent data transferred from said image processing apparatus to the external apparatus (= main controller 1 manages frames data for constructing images and sends to the web server 11 and transfers to the browser 12 for displaying) [see Fig. 1 and Col. 5, Lines 29-65 and Col. 6, Lines 8-52].

Yanagidaira does not explicitly teach designating the type-dependent data based on a language selected from among a plurality of kinds of languages wherein the

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20060330

transfer unit transfers the designated type-dependent data corresponding to the selected language to the network interface apparatus. However, it would have been obvious to one of skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to have a selection display including a variety of language options indicated by the language information held by said holding means from the image processing apparatus for setting purposes.

Regarding claim 16, Yanagidaira further teaches an apparatus according to claim 15, wherein in accordance with the request from the network interface apparatus, said transfer unit transfers the type-dependent data stored corresponding to the selected language in the type-dependent data stored in said storing unit to the network interface apparatus [see Col. 5, Line 35 to Col. 6, Line 52].

Claim 17 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 7.

Claim 18 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 15. In addition, Yanagidaira further teaches memory means for storing shipping destination information showing to which place said image processing apparatus is shipped and first transfer means for transferring the shipping destination information stored in said memory means in accordance with a request from said network interface apparatus (= web server 11 receives URL request from the browser and sends it to the main control

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20060330

unit 1 for obtaining printer settings information from the database and then forwards to the browser for displaying) [see Fig. 1 and Abstract and Col. 7, Lines 12-47].

Regarding claim 19, Yanagidaira further teaches an apparatus according to claim 18, wherein said second transfer unit transfers the type-dependent data corresponding to the shipping destination information stored in said memory unit in the type-dependent data stored in said storing unit to said network interface apparatus in accordance with the request from the network interface apparatus [see Col. 5, Line 35 to Col. 6, Line 52].

Claim 20 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 7.

Claim 21 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 18. In addition, Yanagidaira further teaches allowing said network interface apparatus to provide display data that is data in which a picture plane for displaying and setting apparatus information of said image processing apparatus has been described and that corresponds to a language shown by held language information [see Fig. 1 and Col. 5, Line 9 to Col. 6, Line 52].

Regarding claim 23, Yanagidaira further teaches a method according to claim 21, wherein said network interface apparatus provides the display data in which a picture plane for selecting the language has been described and holds the language information showing the language selected on said picture plane [see Fig. 8].

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20060330

Claim 24 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 7.

Claim 25 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 21. In addition, Yanagidaira further teaches allowing said network interface apparatus to provide display data that is data in which a picture plane for displaying and setting apparatus information of said image processing apparatus has been described and that corresponds to shipping destination information showing to which place said image processing apparatus is shipped (= web server 11 receives URL request from the browser and sends it to the main control unit 1 for obtaining printer settings information from the database and then forwards to the browser for displaying) [see Fig. 1 and Abstract and Col. 7, Lines 12-47]. Claim 25 is even broader than claim 21 by not including the limitation of designating the display data based on a language selected from among a plurality of kinds of languages to request the display data corresponding to the selected language from the image processing apparatus.

Regarding claim 27, Yanagidaira further teaches a method according to claim 25, wherein said network interface apparatus requests the shipping destination information from the image processing apparatus [see Fig. 1].

Claim 28 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 7.

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20060330

Claim 29 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Claim 30 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 8.

4. Claim 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yanagidaira, U.S. Pat. No. 6,490,052 in view of Teng et al (Hereafter, Teng), U.S. Pat. No. 6,240,456.

Regarding claim 6, Yanagidaira does not explicitly teach an apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said type-dependent data is image data showing an external view of the connected image processing apparatus. However, Teng, in the same field of collecting printer administration endeavor, discloses type-dependent data is image data showing an external view of the connected image processing apparatus (printers) [see Teng, Fig. 13]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Teng into the teaching of Yanagidaira in order to enable the administrator or user to visualize the monitoring devices connected to the network and thus quickly identify the devices with their locations and associated problems.

Claim 13 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 6.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20060330

Yanagidaira teaches a network interface apparatus which is connected to an image processing apparatus and communicates with an external apparatus, comprising a providing unit adapted to provide display data necessary for constructing a picture plane for displaying or setting apparatus information of the image processing apparatus to the external apparatus. For example, Yanagidaira discloses main control unit 1 in connection with web server unit 11 [see Yanagidaira, Fig. 1 and Abstract]. In addition, Yanagidaira teaches a holding unit adapted to hold language information indicative of a selected language among a plurality of kinds of languages. That is, database 6 [see Yanagidaira, Fig. 1].

Moreover, Yanagidaira further teaches a data obtaining unit adapted to obtain the display data from the image processing apparatus if the display data necessary for constructing the picture plane depends on an apparatus type of the image processing apparatus and to obtain the display data from said network interface apparatus if the display data necessary for constructing the picture plane does not depend on the apparatus type of the image processing apparatus. For example, Yanagidaira discloses main controller 1 manages frames data for constructing images [see Yanagidaira, Col. 6, Lines 8-52], wherein said providing unit provides the designated display data corresponding to the language indicated by the language information held by said holding unit to the external apparatus. That is, sending to the web server 11 and transferring to the browser 12 for displaying [see Yanagidaira, Fig. 1 and Col. 5, Lines 29-65].

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20060330

Yanagidaira does not explicitly teach data obtaining unit designates the display data based on the language information held by said holding unit to obtain the display data corresponding to the language indicated by the language information held by said holding means from the image processing apparatus. However, it would have been obvious to one of skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to have a selection display including a variety of language options indicated by the language information held by said holding means from the image processing apparatus for setting purposes.

Applicant argues that the "language monitors" of Yanagidaira have nothing to do with human languages, but are used to extract and store printer status information from communication streams. As such, Yanagidaira cannot possibly disclose the language management features of the present invention. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., human languages) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Some of the claims even have no limitations of "data obtaining unit designates the display data based on the language information held by said holding unit to obtain the display data corresponding to the language indicated by the language information held by said holding means from the image processing apparatus" as argued by applicant. For example, claim 15 does not even mention anything about languages and,

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20060330

of course, does not claim human language management features as argued by applicant.

Therefore, the examiner asserts that cited prior art teaches or suggests the subject matter recited in independent claims. Dependent claims are also rejected at least by virtue of dependency on independent claims and by other reasons shown above. Accordingly, claims 1, 3-8, 10-21, 23-25 and 27-30 are respectfully rejected.

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CAR 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST REPLY IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 CAR 1.136(A) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT, HOWEVER, WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20060330

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3991. The Group fax phone number is (571) 273-8300. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar, can be reached on (571) 272-4006.

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip B. Tran
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2155
March 30, 2006